Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

MAILED

Genzyme Corporation 15 Pleasant Street Connector P.O. Box 9322 Framingham MA 01701-9322 APR 1 3 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Abraham Scaria et al.

Application No. 10/057,620 : DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: October 25, 2001 : PURSUANT TO

Attorney Docket Number: : 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(B)

5046US

Title: METHODS FOR TREATING : BLOOD COAGULATION DISORDERS :

This is a decision on the petition filed August 25, 2008, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. \S 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) is GRANTED.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to submit an Appeal Brief within two-months of the filing of a Notice of Appeal, filed on October 4, 2006. No extensions of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) were received. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on December 5, 2006.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R.
 § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

With this petition, Petitioner has submitted the petition fee, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) along with the associated fee, an amendment, and the proper statement of unintentional delay.

The first three requirements of Rule 1.137(b) have been met. The fourth requirement of Rule 1.137(b) is not applicable, as a terminal disclaimer is not required.¹

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision. The Technology Center's support staff will notify the Examiner of this decision, so that the submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 - the amendment received on August 25, 2008 - can be processed.

Petitioner may find it beneficial to view Private PAIR within a fortnight of the present decision to ensure that the revival has been acknowledged by the Technology Center in response to this decision. It is noted that all inquiries with regard to any failure of that change in status should be directed to the Technology Center where that change of status must be effected - the Office of Petitions cannot effectuate a change of status.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) $272-3225.^2$ All other inquiries

¹ See Rule 1.137(d).

² Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.

concerning examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Paul Shanoski/
Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions